

THE DANGER

(Continued from Page 1)

Washington had always been puzzled as to how Moscow learned that Washington had promised London under no circumstances to attack Red China. So Red China attacked us in Korea with relative impunity. For this criminal stupidity of Britain as well as America, 20,000 additional American youth laid down their lives. And America lost the war to boot. Unquestionably Soviet influence had a part in insisting through London, that MacArthur be fired in 1951.

Often, as when Eisenhower changed his mind and went to Geneva last July. American foreign policy has been dictated by the British Foreign Office. Yet for some reason the British had never taken communism seriously. Some years ago, when Gouzenko, the Soviet code clerk, defected, Canada set up a Royal Commission [a rarely used but greatly respected British institution], which presently revealed startling communist infiltration both in Canada and the United States. Australia, as we say, also set up a Royal Commission. But British Governments, both Labor and Conservative, were not bright enough to look into things in their own country.

And so American boys—died by the thousand. And Britishers too.

And there in Frankfurt on October 14th, one of Britain's best known publicists was asserting that harm had been done by the investigation of certain American "liberals," and insulted the memory of one of the most distinguished British libertarians, Sir Ernest Benn, who has since died.

The Englishman, Kenneth de Courcy, in his Weekly Review of September 20 last said,

"The Foreign Office lied to the public. It said that Burgess and Maclean had passed the security tests. It is now forced to admit that this was a lie."

This British fiasco affects America, too. For one of the obsessions of Washington, carefully nurtured by indirect communist intrigue, is that we can't work alone—that we and other nations are "interdependent": we must share our secrets with our ever-loving "allies"—especially Britain. In 1954, the palace guard at the White House considered it important to destroy completely—if they could—the political influence of Senator Joseph R. McCarthy. In 1950 and 1952 he had been their greatest political campaigner. Did they fear he might expose men who were high in the Roosevelt-Truman Administrations, many of whom were carried over into this one?

The startling evidence of the weak policy of the United States toward communism includes the following:

1. The fact that the Administration was unable to make indictments stick against Owen Lattimore, one of the chief architects of this Government's betrayal of China to Soviet Russia; the fact that the presiding judge refused to disqualify himself when requested by the Department of Justice since he had caused the failure of the first trial, but insisted upon sitting in the second trial; and the fact that Johns Hopkins University took Lattimore back after the government officially abandoned the prosecution.

2. That "intellectual terrorism" can be alleged by some 80 prominent intellectuals, some of them with communist front records, some of them Bishops of Protestant churches—men seemingly more interested in protecting persons accused as communists than in preserving the American Republic.

3. The fact that 360 "notables" (94 of them ministers and rabbis and 87 educators) were bold enough in late September to sign a brief urging the U. S. Supreme Court to void the Internal Security Act of 1950. The list included many of the most blatant pro-communists and "liberals" in the country.

4. The present trouble in the Near East because Truman and the United Nations saw to the dismembering of Palestine in 1948, where Soviet Russia, through one or more of its satellites, is shipping arms to Egypt and probably to Saudi Arabia. American vital interests were ruthlessly violated when a million Arabs were routed out of their homes in 1948 and sent into miserable exile.

Of course Soviet Russia will send arms there. We alienated 30 million Arabs and 300 million

Moslems, who formerly had been friendly. What other result can we expect? Ten to one, that intervention in Palestine will lose the Middle East to Soviet Russia.

5. The ignoble cease-fire in Korea in 1953, followed by our agreement to the surrender of Northern Indo-China, with its millions of Christians, to Soviet Russia.

6. The yielding by the President to the holding of the Soviet-planned conference at Geneva last July. The glad-handing and toasting and "smiling" at this conference was used by the Soviets to show the millions of Soviet slaves that the Western allies were friendly, not to the slaves, but to the Soviet over-lords.

7. And the crowning folly—the proposal of September 29 by the United States, Britain and France to give guarantees to Soviet Russia that a united Germany will never attack the Soviets. This would write finis to any hopes of liberation of the hundreds of millions in countries absorbed by Soviet Russia. It would release Soviet Russia to complete the "neutralization" of all Europe down to the Pyrenees. She would be free then to absorb the Middle East, the rest of Southeast Asia and, within a few years, Africa.

How long would America hold out then?

Our Washington policy has, on balance, been one uninterrupted compliance with Soviet ambitions ever since 1945. We know we have had our Alger Hisses and Harry Dexter Whites, just as Britain now knows—too late—that she has had her Burgesses and Macleans. But, now at the time when our "liberals," through activities like the Fund for the Republic, are making supreme efforts to discourage all further investigation of communism in America, we have every reason to believe that this country is saturated with communist spies and communist sympathizers.

Worse than all else, there are, we fear, a growing number of businessmen and other citizens who are beginning to think the Soviet government will carry through its plan to conquer the entire world and who want to be on the "winning side," when it has happened.

Few real Americans have been in on any plan to bring about such a danger as exists today. But many, including some of our highest officials and otherwise intelligent citizens, have weakly permitted themselves to be duped by the crafty architects of Soviet designs. Dean Clarence Manion, in his broadcast of October 2, says in substance that many businessmen by neglect of threats to the nation, are merely selling themselves down the river.

Our weak Washington policy, costly as it has been in men and treasure, is today permitting the Soviets to lie us Americans into slavery, just as surely as Roosevelt, according to Clare Booth Luce, "lied us into war" in 1941.

We have given European countries roughly 50 billion dollars since 1945. Billions went into the subsidizing of the Socialist government of Britain. Billions were spent in France and Italy in such a way as to strengthen communism. Hundreds of millions went to Yugoslavia, in the vain belief that she had broken with Moscow. Hundreds of millions more went to Greece and Turkey and were spent with good effect; though now, due to communist intrigue, Greece and Turkey are in a bitter feud over Cyprus—a feud that is of course communist inspired.

Even the New York Times, on October 10, 1955, lists many disappointments felt in Washington over the failure of our billions to win good will. America has almost nothing to show for the sacrifices she has made for these various countries.

The outstanding fact is that the United Nations, touted to the skies as a device to bring peace, has produced war—as in Palestine. The UN has actually increased the chances of war because it has harbored communists and communist sympathizers in its eighteen-acre New York headquarters—men and women exempt from American law through their diplomatic immunity.

Not only has no benefit of consequence been accomplished by the United Nations that could not have been accomplished by ordinary diplomatic procedures, but the UN and its "specialized agencies" are obviously working to produce a world government in which the United States would be merely one of sixty or seventy members. If they are successful, liberty in America will sim-

ply disappear.

Those who have talked of collective security (incidentally a communist phrase) and the interdependence of nations (a phrase of our do-gooders and visionaries) must admit that their plans, even to the extent that they are sincere, have been something less than a total success for America. In fact, they have been a gigantic failure, just as the communist dupes who egged them on intended they should be.

There is no better illustration of the weakness we suffer from belonging to the UN than the fact that after the United States had almost won the Korean War, the UN did not let us complete that victory.

There is one course only open to the United States. We are approaching disaster—a disaster that would mean the actual enslavement of the American people. Few, if any of our "allies" have been of help to us. Nearly all of them have been a drain.

In our opinion, the free American Republic is destined to be extinguished, unless she at once takes heroic steps to save herself. These steps should be:

1. To withdraw completely from the United Nations and get the United Nations out of the United States. Let no one say this cannot be done—anything can be done that is needed to save America.

2. Let all subsidies to other nations, whether economic or military, be canceled, excepting to those countries like Spain, which can be absolutely depended upon to stand with us under all circumstances.

3. Let us cut off all trade with Soviet-controlled countries in whatever kind of goods.

4. Let us unleash not only Chiang Kai-shek in Formosa, but the South Koreans under Syngman Rhee. Let us supply them with material at any time they are ready to launch an attack. Supplying other nations with material is one of the most effective things Soviet Russia does.

5. Let us cut out waste and unnecessary public spending, following recommendations in the Hoover report—really cut it out.

The idea that this nation can stand indefinitely a 60-odd billion dollar budget is folly. With this budget and a 280 billion dollar debt on our backs, where would we be if we became involved in another war?

Since 1919 Soviet officials have said they are out to conquer the entire world. They have never retracted that statement. Nothing that has happened runs contrary to it—not even since the famous Geneva Conference where Ike, Bulganin and Krushchev "smiled." An avalanche moves slowly at first. But, once well-started, it is impossible to stop.

If the American Government does not now realize the truth about Soviet intentions, it presently will be too late to do anything.

WILLS ARE IMPORTANT

If you wish to provide for carrying on this patriotic work, when making your will be sure to name the beneficiary correctly.

Lately, one of our friends who wished to help preserve freedom in the Country they loved, left one thousand dollars to me personally. The American Jewish Congress (Zionist Political group) proceeded to attach this for Rabbi Prinz, as part of the \$30,000 judgement they maneuvered against me.

I wish my friends a long and happy life, but we will have to go when our Lord feels our time is up. What is more important than providing for continuance of this educational Crusade? Large sums of money left to relatives will not be of much value when our Country is under the same dictatorship which now rules half the population of the world. There will not be any Churches either.

When I go I cannot leave anything to the Christian Educational Association. Twenty-six thousand and over one hundred thousand dollars in time, no salary is my contribution.

If you are so inclined, include in your will, just,

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL
ASSOCIATION
UNION, NEW JERSEY
GOD BLESS YOU
CONDE MCGINLEY, Editor